## 衛生福利部食品藥物管理署 函

地址:115209 臺北市南港區研究院路一段

130巷99號

聯絡人:林奕汝

聯絡電話:(02)2787-7674

傳真:26532073

電子郵件: maylin25@fda.gov.tw

受文者:中華民國藥師公會全國聯合會

發文日期:中華民國111年12月28日 發文字號:FDA藥字第1111413933號

速別:普通件

密等及解密條件或保密期限:

附件:

主旨: ICH M13A指引草案進入公開諮詢階段,請協助轉知所屬, 彙整該指引有關建議,並請於112年4月30日前惠復,請查 照。

### 說明:

- 一、ICH M13A係有關口服固體速放劑型生體相等性 (Bioequivalence for Immeditae-Release Solid Oral Dosage Forms)指引之修訂,該指引草案現正進入法規諮詢 階段公開徵求各界意見,請協助轉知所屬,並惠予彙整所 屬建議,依意見彙整表提供中英文建議惠復。
- 二、M13A指引草案及意見彙整表請至本署ICH草案公開諮詢專區下載。路徑:首頁>業務專區>藥品>ICH專區>草案公開諮詢專區。草案相關資料亦可參考ICH Public Consultations (https://www.ich.org/page/public-consultations)。

正本:台灣醫藥品法規學會、中華民國藥劑生公會全國聯合會、台灣藥學會、中華民國學名藥協會、中華民國西藥商業同業公會全國聯合會、台灣年輕藥師協會、台北市進出口商業同業公會、台灣研發型生技新藥發展協會、台灣製藥工業同業公





會、台灣藥品行銷暨管理協會、台北市西藥商業同業公會、中華民國西藥代理商業同業公會、中華民國製藥發展協會、中華民國開發性製藥研究協會、中華民國藥師公會全國聯合會、社團法人臺灣臨床藥學會、台灣藥物基因體學會

副本:財團法人醫藥品查驗中心電2022/12/28文交 5.38.09章







# INTERNATIONAL COUNCIL FOR HARMONISATION OF TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS FOR PHARMACEUTICALS FOR HUMAN USE

#### ICH HARMONISED GUIDELINE

# BIOEQUIVALENCE FOR IMMEDIATE-RELEASE SOLID ORAL DOSAGE FORMS M13A

Draft version
Endorsed on 20 December 2022

Currently under public consultation

At Step 2 of the ICH Process, a consensus draft text or guideline, agreed by the appropriate ICH Expert Working Group, is transmitted by the ICH Assembly to the regulatory authorities of the ICH regions for internal and external consultation, according to national or regional procedures.

### M13A Document History

Code	History	Date
M13A	Endorsement by the Members of the ICH Assembly under <i>Step 2</i> and release for public consultation.	20 December 2022

Legal notice: This document is protected by copyright and may, with the exception of the ICH logo, be used, reproduced, incorporated into other works, adapted, modified, translated or distributed under a public license provided that ICH's copyright in the document is acknowledged at all times. In case of any adaption, modification or translation of the document, reasonable steps must be taken to clearly label, demarcate or otherwise identify that changes were made to or based on the original document. Any impression that the adaption, modification or translation of the original document is endorsed or sponsored by the ICH must be avoided.

The document is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind. In no event shall the ICH or the authors of the original document be liable for any claim, damages or other liability arising from the use of the document.

The above-mentioned permissions do not apply to content supplied by third parties. Therefore, for documents where the copyright vests in a third party, permission for reproduction must be obtained from this copyright holder.

### ICH HARMONISED GUIDELINE

# BIOEQUIVALENCE FOR IMMEDIATE-RELEASE SOLID ORAL DOSAGE FORMS

### **M13A**

### **ICH Consensus Guideline**

TAB	BLE OF CONTENTS	
1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Objective	1
1.2	Background	1
	1.2.1 Bioequivalence	1
	1.2.2 Data Integrity	2
1.3	Scope	2
2	GENERAL PRINCIPLES IN ESTABLISHING BIOEQUIVALENCE	3
2.1	Study Design for Pharmacokinetic Endpoint Bioequivalence Studies	3
	2.1.1 Study Population	3
	2.1.2 Study Design	4
	2.1.3 Sample Size for Bioequivalence Studies	4
	2.1.4 Comparator and Test Products	5
	2.1.5 Fasting and Fed Study Conditions	5
	2.1.6 Dose or Strength to be Studied	8
	2.1.7 Moieties to be Measured	9
	2.1.7.1 Parent versus Metabolite	9
	2.1.7.2 Enantiomers versus Racemates	10
	2.1.8 Sampling	10
	2.1.8.1 First Point C <sub>max</sub>	11
	2.1.8.2 Long Half-life Drugs and Truncated AUC Considerations	11
	2.1.8.3 Early Exposure	11
2.2	Data Analysis for Non-Replicate Study Design	12
	2.2.1 Considerations for the Bioequivalence Analysis Population	12
	2.2.1.1 Removal of Data Due to Low Exposure	
	2.2.2 Presentation of Data	

	2.2.2.1	Concentration Time Data	
	2.2.2.2	Pharmacokinetic Analysis	
	2.2.2.3	Potency Differences in Lots	14
	2.2.3 Sta	ntistical Analysis	14
	2.2.3.1	General Considerations	14
	2.2.3.2	Crossover Design Studies	
	2.2.3.3	Carry-over	
	2.2.3.4	Parallel Design Studies	16
	2.2.3.5	Multi-Group Design Studies	16
	2.2.4 Bio	pequivalence Criteria	17
	2.2.5 Mu	ultiple Comparator and Multiple Test Product Studies	17
	2.2.5.1	Multiple Comparator Products	17
	2.2.5.2	Multiple Test Products	17
3	SPECIFIC	C TOPICS	18
3.1	Endogenou	us Compounds	18
3.2	Other Imm	nediate-Release Dosage Forms	19
	3.2.1 Or	ally Disintegrating Tablets	19
		ewable Tablets	
	3.2.3 Or	al Suspensions	20
3.3	Fixed Dose	e Combination	20
3.4	pH-Depend	dency	21
4	DOCUME	ENTATION	22
5	CLOSSAI	$\mathbf{RV}$	23

#### 1 1 INTRODUCTION

#### 2 1.1 Objective

- 3 This guideline is intended to provide recommendations on conducting bioequivalence (BE) studies
- 4 during both development and post approval phases for orally administered immediate-release (IR)
- 5 solid oral dosage forms designed to deliver drugs to the systemic circulation, such as tablets,
- 6 capsules, and granules/powders for oral suspension.
- 7 Deviations from the recommendations in this guideline may be acceptable if appropriate scientific
- 8 justification is provided. Applicants are encouraged to consult the regulatory authority(ies) when
- 9 an alternate approach is proposed or taken.

#### 10 **1.2 Background**

#### 11 1.2.1 Bioequivalence

- 12 BE for IR solid oral dosage forms with systemic action is largely established via clinical
- pharmacokinetic (PK) BE studies or comparative in vitro dissolution studies. In addition to the
- oral dosage forms stated above, the PK principles of this guideline are generally applicable to non-
- orally administered drug products with immediate action in which reliance on systemic exposure
- measures is suitable for establishing BE, e.g., certain rectal, inhalation, and nasal drug products.
- 17 BE assessment for these oral dosage forms is important for establishing therapeutic equivalence
- 18 for generic drug products to their respective comparator products. In addition, there may be
- situations in new (innovator) drug development when demonstration of BE may be critical for
- approval decisions. Furthermore, BE studies are used by innovator and generic product developers
- 21 for supporting post-approval formulation and/or manufacturing process changes.
- 22 Two drug products containing the same drug substance(s) are considered bioequivalent if their
- relative bioavailability (BA) (rate and extent of drug absorption) after administration in the same
- 24 molar dose lies within acceptable predefined limits. These limits are set to ensure comparable in
- 25 vivo performance, i.e., similarity in terms of safety and efficacy.
- 26 The Biopharmaceutics Classification System (BCS)-based biowaiver may be used to waive *in vivo*
- 27 BE studies for certain orally administered IR solid oral dosage forms as delineated in ICH M9,

- 28 Biopharmaceutics Classification System-Based Biowaivers.
- 29 1.2.2 Data Integrity
- 30 BE studies should be conducted according to the principles and recommendations in ICH E6, Good
- 31 Clinical Practice. In conducting BE studies, sponsors, study investigators, and service providers,
- 32 e.g., contract research organisations or laboratories, should ensure that the data generated are
- 33 attributable, legible, contemporaneously documented, original (or a certified copy),
- 34 accurate, complete, and traceable. The ultimate responsibility for the quality and integrity of the
- 35 study data submitted to a regulatory authority lies with the applicant.
- 36 **1.3 Scope**
- 37 M13A is the first guideline in the series to describe the scientific and technical aspects of study
- design and data analysis to support BE assessment for orally administered IR solid oral dosage
- 39 forms. How regulatory decisions may be made based on BE assessment is out of the scope of this
- 40 guideline.
- 41 Acceptance of comparator products across regulatory jurisdictions could reduce the burden of
- 42 multiple clinical trials demonstrating BE against local comparator products. However, in many
- regions this is governed by local laws rather than scientific guidelines. Therefore, the acceptance
- of comparator products across regions is not in the scope of M13A. However, study designs
- 45 containing multiple comparator products or test products are included in M13A to take some initial
- steps to reduce the associated burden without prejudice to regional legal requirements.
- 47 The second guideline in the series, M13B, will describe biowaiver considerations for additional
- 48 strengths not investigated in BE studies.
- The third guideline in the series, M13C, will include data analysis and BE assessment for 1) highly
- 50 variable drugs, 2) drugs with narrow therapeutic index, and 3) complex BE study design and data
- analysis considerations, e.g., adaptive BE study design.
- 52 These guidelines do not cover PK study design or data analysis to support BA assessment for new
- drug development in support of intended use or dosing recommendations in drug labelling, e.g.,
- relative BA assessment, food effect, drug-drug interactions, special population studies, bridging

- formulations without the necessity to demonstrate BE, and studies to support changes in dosing
- regimens or routes of administration. In such cases, study design and decision criteria may be
- 57 based on the objective of the study and availability of other information including exposure-
- response and proposed labelling.

#### 2 GENERAL PRINCIPLES IN ESTABLISHING BIOEQUIVALENCE

#### 60 2.1 Study Design for Pharmacokinetic Endpoint Bioequivalence Studies

#### 61 2.1.1 Study Population

59

- The subject population for BE studies should be selected with the aim of permitting detection of
- 63 differences in the *in vivo* release characteristics between pharmaceutical products. In order to
- reduce variability not related to differences between products, the studies should normally be
- performed in healthy subjects unless the drug carries safety concerns that make this approach
- unethical. Conducting BE studies in healthy subjects is regarded as adequate in most instances to
- detect formulation differences and to allow extrapolation of the results to populations for which
- the product is intended.
- 69 The subject inclusion and exclusion criteria should be clearly stated in the study protocol. Subjects
- should be at least 18 years of age and preferably have a Body Mass Index between 18.5 and 30.0
- 71 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. If a drug product is intended for use in both sexes, it is recommended the study include
- 72 male and female subjects.
- 73 Subjects should be screened for suitability by means of clinical laboratory tests, a medical history,
- and a physical examination. Depending on the drug's therapeutic class and safety profile, special
- 75 medical investigations and precautions may have to be carried out before, during, and after the
- completion of the BE study. The risk to women of childbearing potential should be considered,
- and the investigators should ensure that female subjects are not pregnant or lactating during the
- 78 BE study and the follow-up. Subjects should preferably be non-nicotine users and without a history
- of alcohol or drug abuse. Phenotyping and/or genotyping of subjects may be considered for safety
- or PK reasons.
- 81 If the investigated active substance is known to have adverse effects and the pharmacological
- 82 effects or risks are considered unacceptable for healthy subjects, the study may instead be

83 conducted in a targeted patient population under suitable precautions and supervision.

#### 2.1.2 Study Design

84

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

- 85 A randomised, single-dose, two-period, two-sequence crossover study design is recommended 86 when comparing two formulations, as single-dose studies provide the most sensitive conditions to 87 detect differences in the rate and extent of absorption. Treatment periods should be separated by a sufficiently long washout period, e.g., at least 5 elimination half-lives. In general, the highest to-88 89 be-marketed strength should be used in a BE study. If the highest strength of a product cannot be 90 administered to healthy subjects for safety and/or tolerability reasons, a single-dose study 91 conducted in healthy subjects using a lower strength may be possible (see Section 2.1.6) or 92 alternatively, if feasible given the drug product under investigation, a single-dose study conducted 93 in patients using the highest proposed strength could be considered.
  - A multiple-dose study may be conducted in patients if a single-dose study cannot be conducted in either healthy subjects for safety and/or tolerability reasons or in patients for ethical reasons. For a multiple-dose study, the study protocol should include an appropriate number of dosage administrations to reach steady-state, which could be justified using an appropriate sampling scheme, i.e., concentrations at the end of the dosing interval should be sampled sequentially until C<sub>tau</sub> is stable. The washout of the last dose of the first treatment period can overlap with the accumulation of the second treatment. The accumulation period should be sufficiently long to reach the new steady-state after switching and allow the elimination of the drug from the previous treatment, e.g., at least 5 elimination half-lives.
- For drugs with long elimination half-lives, a parallel design may be employed when a crossover design is impractical due to the need for a prolonged washout period. In this situation, special care should be taken to ensure similar subject demographics in each of the treatment groups.
- Alternative study designs are acceptable, if scientifically justified.

#### 107 2.1.3 Sample Size for Bioequivalence Studies

The number of subjects to be included in the BE study should be based on an appropriate sample size calculation to achieve a pre-specified power and pre-specified type 1 error. A sufficient number of subjects should be enrolled in the BE study to account for possible dropouts and/or

111	withdrawals. The use of "spare" subjects is not acceptable. Additional cohort(s) of subjects may		
112	be added to the study, e.g., if the number of evaluable subjects falls below the calculated sample		
113	size; however, this should be specified in the study protocol and done prior to any bioanalysis. The		
114	number of evaluable subjects in a pivotal BE study should not be less than 12 for a crossover		
115	design or 12 per treatment group for a parallel design.		
116	2.1.4 Comparator and Test Products		
117	A comparator product is the drug product accepted by regulatory agencies that an applicant can		
118	use to compare against the test product in conducting a BE study.		
119	The selection of the batch of the comparator product used in the BE study should be based on assay		
120	content. It is advisable to investigate more than one batch of the comparator product when selecting		
121	the batch of comparator product for use in the BE study.		
122	The test product used in the BE study should be representative of the product to be marketed and		
123	this should be discussed and justified by the applicant.		
124	For pivotal BE studies, the test product used should meet the following criteria:		
125	a) The production of batches used should provide a high level of assurance that the product		
126	and process will be feasible on a commercial scale. The test product should usually		
127	originate from a batch of at least 1/10 of production scale or 100,000 units, whichever is		
128	greater, unless otherwise justified. In case of a production batch smaller than 100,000 units,		
129	a full production batch is required.		
130	b) Unless otherwise justified, the assayed content of the batch used as test product should not		
131	differ by more than 5% from that of the batch used as comparator product, as determined		
132	with the test procedure proposed for routine quality testing of the test product.		
133	2.1.5 Fasting and Fed Study Conditions		
134	BE studies should be conducted under standardised conditions that minimise variability to better		
135	detect potential PK differences between drug products. For IR solid oral dosage forms, single-dose		
136	BE studies conducted under fasting conditions typically provide greater discrimination between		
137	the PK profiles of two products. Therefore, for the majority of these drug products, BE may be		
138	demonstrated in a single study conducted under fasting conditions.		

139	However, food can have a differential, formulation-dependent impact on the absorption of drug	
140	substances from drug products that are of high risk (see "High-risk products" section below)	
141	which would preclude the extrapolation of BE under fasting conditions to fed conditions. In such	
142	cases, BE under fed conditions also needs to be demonstrated.	
143	The design of a BE study with regard to the use of fasting and/or fed conditions depends on the	
144	dosing instructions of the comparator product as well as the properties of the drug substance and	
145	product formulation. A rationale should be provided for the selection of the type of BE study(ies	
146	(fasting or fed or both) and meal type, e.g., fat and calorie content, based on the understanding or	
147	the comparator product and the test product (high or non-high risk) as described below. The	
148	rationale can be supported by modelling, e.g., appropriately validated/qualified physiologically-	
149	based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) modelling or semi-mechanistic absorption models.	
150	In addition, safety-related aspects need to be considered when selecting the appropriate condition	
151	for a BE study regarding food intake. If safety concerns make it unethical to administer a single	
152	dose of the drug product under either fed or fasted conditions, the BE study should be conducted	
153	under the condition with less safety concerns.	
154	For non-high-risk products, the following is recommended:	
155	• For a product that is labelled to be taken only under fasting conditions or can be taken	
156	under fasting or fed conditions i.e., without regard to food, a single BE study conducted	
157	under fasting conditions is recommended to demonstrate bioequivalence.	
158	• For a product that is labelled to be taken only with food due to PK reasons, e.g.,	
159	enhancing absorption or reducing variability, a single BE study conducted under fed	
160	conditions is recommended to demonstrate bioequivalence.	
161	• For a product that is labelled to be taken only with food due to tolerability reasons, e.g.,	
162	stomach irritation, a single BE study conducted under either fasting or fed conditions is	
163	acceptable.	
164	High-risk products:	

High-risk products are those where the complexity of the formulation design or manufacturing

165

166

varying gastrointestinal (GI) conditions between the fasted and fed states. For these products, performance differences related to differences in formulation and/or manufacturing process may not be detected with a single BE study, i.e., results from a fasting BE study may not be extrapolated to predict fed BE study outcome or vice versa, thus both fasting and fed BE studies should be conducted. For example, some drug products containing low solubility drug substances (as defined by the BCS low solubility criterion described in ICH M9) have complex formulation and/or manufacturing methods (such as solid dispersions, microemulsions, lipid-based formulations, nanotechnologies, or other specialised technologies) to ensure sufficient solubility of the drug substance and dissolution of the drug products or to manage the impact of food. For these high-risk products, BE studies should be conducted under both fasting and fed conditions, irrespective of the product labelling with regard to food intake, except when safety concerns make it unethical to administer a single dose of the drug product under either fed or fasted conditions. Then the BE study should be conducted under the condition with less safety concerns.

Especially for low solubility drug substances, the comparator product may be the result of an extensive formulation and/or manufacturing process development program, obtaining for instance a specific formulation without a food effect. If the test product uses a substantially different manufacturing technology or particle size control method from the comparator, or if substantially different excipients are used in the test and comparator that are likely to impact dissolution, solubility, or permeability, this may warrant the need for BE studies under fasting and fed conditions.

The above principles with regard to fasting and fed study conditions also apply when BE studies are deemed necessary to bridge formulation and/or manufacturing process changes during pre- or post-marketing phases.

#### Standardisation with regard to meals and water:

For studies conducted under fasting conditions, subjects should be fasted for at least 10 hours before drug administration. Subjects should be allowed water as desired, except for 1 hour before and 1 hour after drug administration. The dose should be administered with a standardised volume of water, in the range of 150 to 250 millilitres (ml). No food should be allowed for at least 4 hours post-dose on each day of drug administration and meals taken should be standardised with respect

196	to composition and timing.
197	In the case of studies conducted under fed conditions, the same controls should be employed with
198	the exception that a pre-dose meal should be provided. For a fed BE study, it is recommended that
199	subjects start the meal 30 minutes before administration of the drug product and consume the meal
200	within 30 minutes.
201	If BE studies are conducted under both fasting and fed conditions, i.e., for high-risk products, the
202	BE study conducted under fed conditions should be conducted using a meal that has the potential
203	to cause the greatest effect on GI physiology. The meal should be a high-fat (approximately 50%
204	of total caloric content of the meal) and high-calorie (approximately 800 to 1000 kcal) meal, which
205	should derive approximately 150, 250, and 500-600 kcal from protein, carbohydrate, and fat,
206	respectively. It is recognised that there may be situations where it is appropriate to administer a
207	pre-dose meal with a different caloric/fat content from these recommendations, e.g., for studies
208	performed in patient populations who cannot tolerate the recommended meal composition.
209	If, however, only one BE study conducted under fed conditions is needed for a non-high-risk
210	product, either a high-fat, high-calorie meal or a low-fat, low-calorie meal, e.g., a meal of
211	approximately 500 kcal with approximately 25% of calories from fat, may be administered. If the
212	type of meal to be consumed at the time of drug product administration is clearly specified in the
213	comparator product labelling, then this meal should be employed in the BE study.
214	The composition of the meal to be administered should be described with regard to protein,
215	carbohydrate, and fat content (specified in grams, kcal, and relative caloric content (%)) in the
216	study protocol.
217	In all situations, subjects should abstain from foods and drinks that may interact with circulatory,
218	GI transporter, GI enzymatic, hepatic, or renal function, e.g., alcoholic or caffeinated drinks, or
219	certain fruit juices such as grapefruit juice, during a suitable period before and during the study.
220	2.1.6 Dose or Strength to be Studied
221	In case of an application with multiple strengths, the strength to be used in the BE study depends
222	on the dose proportionality in PK and solubility of the analyte. Generally, the highest to-be-
223	marketed strength can be administered as a single unit. Selection of a lower strength may also be

224	accepted if the highest strength cannot be administered to healthy subjects for safety and/or
225	tolerability reasons and dose proportional PK, i.e., area under the concentration vs time curve
226	(AUC) and C <sub>max</sub> , has been documented over the range of strengths. If warranted to achieve
227	sufficient bioanalytical sensitivity, multiple units of the highest strength can be administered,
228	provided the total single-dose remains within the labelled dose range and the total dose is safe for
229	administration to the study subjects.
230	For non-proportional increases in AUC and/or C <sub>max</sub> with increased dose there may be a difference
231	between different strengths in the sensitivity to detect potential differences between formulations.
232	To assess dose proportionality, the applicant should consider all available data regarding dose
233	proportionality. Assessment of dose proportionality should consider single-dose studies only.
234	For drugs with a more than proportional increase in AUC and/or C <sub>max</sub> with increasing dose over
235	the therapeutic dose range, the BE study should in general be conducted at the highest strength.
236	For drugs with a less than proportional increase in AUC and/or C <sub>max</sub> with increasing dose over the
237	therapeutic dose range, BE should be established at the lowest strength if this situation is due to
238	saturation of absorption. If the less than proportional increase in AUC and/or $C_{\text{max}}$ with increasing
239	dose is due to limited drug solubility, BE studies should be conducted at both the lowest and highest
240	strengths. If the reason for non-dose proportionality is unknown, BE studies should generally be
241	conducted at both the lowest and highest strengths.
242	2.1.7 Moieties to be Measured
243	2.1.7.1 Parent versus Metabolite
244	Demonstration of BE should be based on the analysis of the parent drug because the concentration-
245	time profile of the parent drug is usually considered more sensitive to detect a difference between
246	formulations than metabolite data. This also applies to prodrugs. However, some prodrugs are
247	rapidly eliminated resulting in difficulties in demonstrating BE based on parent drug data, because
248	the parent drug levels are too low to allow reliable bioanalytical measurement. In this situation, it
249	is acceptable to demonstrate BE based on a primary metabolite, i.e., a first-step metabolite of the
250	parent drug, without measurement of the parent compound.

In rare cases, demonstration of BE based on the parent drug alone may not be sufficient and the

251

252	primary active metabolite should also be considered, e.g., drugs that have metabolites formed		
253	through gut wall or gut lumen metabolism that contribute to efficacy or safety. This is intended to		
254	address situations in which the formation of the metabolite could be influenced by formulation		
255	differences, which may not be detectable when measuring systemic levels of the parent drug.		
256	2.1.7.2 Enantiomers versus Racemates		
257	The use of an achiral bioanalytical assay to measure the racemate is generally acceptable.		
258	However, a stereoselective assay measuring individual enantiomers in BE studies should be		
259	employed when it is known that all of the following conditions have been met:		
260	a) the enantiomers exhibit different pharmacodynamic properties,		
261	b) the enantiomers exhibit different PK properties, and		
262	c) the exposure (AUC) ratio of enantiomers is modified by a difference in the rate of		
263	absorption.		
264	It is sufficient to demonstrate BE for only the active enantiomer in cases where one enantiomer is		
265	inactive (or makes a low contribution) with respect to both safety and efficacy.		
266	2.1.8 Sampling		
<ul><li>266</li><li>267</li></ul>	<ul><li>2.1.8 Sampling</li><li>The sampling schedule in a BE study should cover the concentration-time curve, including a pre-</li></ul>		
267	The sampling schedule in a BE study should cover the concentration-time curve, including a pre-		
<ul><li>267</li><li>268</li></ul>	The sampling schedule in a BE study should cover the concentration-time curve, including a predose sample, samples in the absorption phase, frequent samples around the expected $T_{\text{max}}$ , and		
<ul><li>267</li><li>268</li><li>269</li></ul>	The sampling schedule in a BE study should cover the concentration-time curve, including a predose sample, samples in the absorption phase, frequent samples around the expected $T_{max}$ , and sufficient samples after $T_{max}$ to ensure a reliable estimate of the extent of exposure, which is		
<ul><li>267</li><li>268</li><li>269</li><li>270</li></ul>	The sampling schedule in a BE study should cover the concentration-time curve, including a predose sample, samples in the absorption phase, frequent samples around the expected $T_{max}$ , and sufficient samples after $T_{max}$ to ensure a reliable estimate of the extent of exposure, which is achieved when $AUC_{(0-t)}$ covers at least 80% of $AUC_{(0-inf)}$ . This period is usually at least three times		
267 268 269 270 271	The sampling schedule in a BE study should cover the concentration-time curve, including a predose sample, samples in the absorption phase, frequent samples around the expected $T_{max}$ , and sufficient samples after $T_{max}$ to ensure a reliable estimate of the extent of exposure, which is achieved when $AUC_{(0-t)}$ covers at least 80% of $AUC_{(0-inf)}$ . This period is usually at least three times the terminal half-life of the drug, unless a suitable truncated AUC, e.g., $AUC_{(0-72h)}$ , is used. To		
267 268 269 270 271 272	The sampling schedule in a BE study should cover the concentration-time curve, including a predose sample, samples in the absorption phase, frequent samples around the expected $T_{max}$ , and sufficient samples after $T_{max}$ to ensure a reliable estimate of the extent of exposure, which is achieved when $AUC_{(0-t)}$ covers at least 80% of $AUC_{(0-inf)}$ . This period is usually at least three times the terminal half-life of the drug, unless a suitable truncated AUC, e.g., $AUC_{(0-72h)}$ , is used. To permit calculation of the relevant PK parameters, a sufficient number of samples should be		
267 268 269 270 271 272 273	The sampling schedule in a BE study should cover the concentration-time curve, including a predose sample, samples in the absorption phase, frequent samples around the expected $T_{max}$ , and sufficient samples after $T_{max}$ to ensure a reliable estimate of the extent of exposure, which is achieved when $AUC_{(0-t)}$ covers at least 80% of $AUC_{(0-inf)}$ . This period is usually at least three times the terminal half-life of the drug, unless a suitable truncated AUC, e.g., $AUC_{(0-72h)}$ , is used. To permit calculation of the relevant PK parameters, a sufficient number of samples should be collected per subject per period, distributed across all phases of disposition.		
267 268 269 270 271 272 273	The sampling schedule in a BE study should cover the concentration-time curve, including a predose sample, samples in the absorption phase, frequent samples around the expected $T_{max}$ , and sufficient samples after $T_{max}$ to ensure a reliable estimate of the extent of exposure, which is achieved when $AUC_{(0-t)}$ covers at least 80% of $AUC_{(0-inf)}$ . This period is usually at least three times the terminal half-life of the drug, unless a suitable truncated AUC, e.g., $AUC_{(0-72h)}$ , is used. To permit calculation of the relevant PK parameters, a sufficient number of samples should be collected per subject per period, distributed across all phases of disposition.		
267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275	The sampling schedule in a BE study should cover the concentration-time curve, including a predose sample, samples in the absorption phase, frequent samples around the expected $T_{max}$ , and sufficient samples after $T_{max}$ to ensure a reliable estimate of the extent of exposure, which is achieved when $AUC_{(0-t)}$ covers at least 80% of $AUC_{(0-inf)}$ . This period is usually at least three times the terminal half-life of the drug, unless a suitable truncated AUC, e.g., $AUC_{(0-72h)}$ , is used. To permit calculation of the relevant PK parameters, a sufficient number of samples should be collected per subject per period, distributed across all phases of disposition.  The exact times at which the samples are taken should be recorded to obtain the elapsed time relative to drug administration and sampling should be spaced such that $C_{max}$ , $AUC_{(0-t)}$ , and $k_{el}$ can		
267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275 276	The sampling schedule in a BE study should cover the concentration-time curve, including a predose sample, samples in the absorption phase, frequent samples around the expected $T_{max}$ , and sufficient samples after $T_{max}$ to ensure a reliable estimate of the extent of exposure, which is achieved when $AUC_{(0-t)}$ covers at least 80% of $AUC_{(0-inf)}$ . This period is usually at least three times the terminal half-life of the drug, unless a suitable truncated $AUC$ , e.g., $AUC_{(0-72h)}$ , is used. To permit calculation of the relevant PK parameters, a sufficient number of samples should be collected per subject per period, distributed across all phases of disposition.  The exact times at which the samples are taken should be recorded to obtain the elapsed time relative to drug administration and sampling should be spaced such that $C_{max}$ , $AUC_{(0-t)}$ , and $k_{el}$ can be estimated accurately.		

280	time curve be used to estimate kel.
281	In multiple-dose studies, the pre-dose sample should be taken immediately before dosing, i.e.,
282	within 5 minutes of dosing, and the last sample is recommended to be taken within 10 minutes of
283	the nominal time for the dosage interval to ensure an accurate determination of $AUC_{(0-tauSS)}$ .
284	2.1.8.1 First Point C <sub>max</sub>
285	The sampling schedule should include frequent sampling around the anticipated $T_{\text{max}}$ to provide a
286	reliable estimate of $C_{\text{max}}$ . In particular, the occurrence of $C_{\text{max}}$ at the first post-dose sampling time
287	point should be avoided by careful consideration of the known pharmacokinetic properties of the
288	drug and selection of a suitable early sampling schedule. Datasets where $C_{\text{max}}$ occurs at the first
289	post-dose sampling time may result in exclusion of the data from affected subjects from the
290	analysis.
291	2.1.8.2 Long Half-life Drugs and Truncated AUC Considerations
292	Truncating AUC for orally administered IR drug products known to exhibit longer elimination
293	half-lives, i.e., 24 hours or longer, mitigates the clinical challenge of prolonged sampling and
294	follow-up. For such products, $AUC_{(0-72h)}$ may be used in place of $AUC_{(0-t)}$ for comparison of the
295	extent of absorption. Seventy-two hours is considered to be adequate to ensure completion of GI
296	transit of the drug product and absorption of the drug substance.
297	2.1.8.3 Early Exposure
298	For orally administered IR drug products, BE can generally be demonstrated by measurement of
299	rate and extent of absorption, i.e., $C_{max}$ and $AUC_{(0-t)}$ . However, in some situations, $C_{max}$ and
300	AUC <sub>(0-t)</sub> may be insufficient to adequately assess the BE between two products, e.g., when the
301	early onset of action is clinically relevant. In these cases, an additional PK parameter, such as area
302	under the concentration vs. time curve between two specific time points (pAUC), may be applied.
303	This pAUC is typically evaluated from the time of drug administration until a predetermined time-
304	point that is related to a clinically relevant pharmacodynamic measure. Samples should be spaced
305	such that the pAUC can be estimated accurately.

306	2.2 Data Analysis for Non-Replicate Study Design
307	2.2.1 Considerations for the Bioequivalence Analysis Population
308	It is imperative that all criteria for study subject inclusion into the BE analysis population be clearly
309	defined in the study protocol. Any exclusions from the BE analysis population should be
310	documented prior to bioanalytical analysis, e.g., subjects that are withdrawn from the study, have
311	protocol violations, or experience GI disturbances potentially affecting absorption.
312	2.2.1.1 Removal of Data Due to Low Exposure
313	BE studies are studies with a smaller number of subjects compared to other clinical trials. An
314	extreme value in the dataset can have a large impact on the outcome of the BE study. Although
315	statistical tests may identify extreme values in the PK variables, such data should not be removed
316	from the statistical analysis of BE studies solely on this basis. Data should only be removed from
317	the statistical analysis based on protocol violations which are contemporaneously documented. A
318	prospective plan should be included in the study protocol for removing data from the BE statistical
319	analysis.
320	An exception to the above can be made for a subject without measurable concentrations or only
321	very low concentrations following either comparator or test product administration. A subject is
322	considered to have very low concentrations if the AUC for that period is less than 5% of the
323	geometric mean AUC of the product in question, which should be calculated without inclusion of
324	data from the subject. These very low concentrations are considered the result of subject non-
325	compliance and should, as far as possible, be avoided by documenting mouth check of subjects
326	after administration of study medication to ensure the subjects have swallowed the drug product.
327	The exclusion of data for this reason will only be accepted in exceptional cases (in general with
328	no more than 1 subject in each study) and may bring the reliability of dose administration into
329	question.
330	Data from redosing studies are not considered evidence to support removal of extreme values from
331	the statistical analysis.
332	Note that all subject data should be submitted and potential extreme values flagged with
333	appropriate documentation as part of the application.

334	2.2.2 Presentation of Data
335	2.2.2.1 Concentration Time Data
336	For both the test and comparator products, the drug concentration in a suitable biological fluid,
337	e.g., plasma, serum or blood, determined at each sampling time point should be tabulated for each
338	subject participating in the study, along with descriptive statistics. These data should be presented
339	on the original scale, i.e., as unadjusted, measured drug concentrations. Deviations from the
340	protocol, e.g., missed samples or samples with significant time deviation, should be clearly
341	identified. Drug concentrations in study samples should be measured in accordance with ICH M10,
342	Bioanalytical Method Validation and Study Sample Analysis.
343	Two concentration-time graphs (linear and log-linear) should be provided for both the test and
344	comparator products for each individual subject. In addition, two concentration-time graphs (linear
345	and log-linear) should be provided for both the test and comparator products for the mean drug
346	concentrations of all subjects. For the individual subject concentration-time graphs, the drug
347	concentrations should be plotted against time using the actual sampling times. For the mean
348	concentration-time graphs the drug concentrations should be plotted using the nominal sampling
349	times.
350	2.2.2.2 Pharmacokinetic Analysis
351	For single-dose studies, the following PK parameters should be tabulated for each subject-
352	formulation combination: 1) primary parameters for analysis: $AUC_{(0-t)}$ , $C_{max}$ , and, where
353	applicable, pAUC, and 2) additional parameters for analysis to assess the acceptability of the
354	bioequivalence study: $AUC_{(0\text{-inf})}$ , $AUC_{(0\text{-inf})}$ , $AUC_{(0\text{-inf})}$ , $T_{max}$ , $k_{el}$ , and $t_{1/2}$ . For single-dose studies,
355	$AUC_{(0\text{-t})} \text{ should cover at least } 80\% \text{ of } AUC_{(0\text{-inf})}. \text{ If the } AUC_{(0\text{-t})} / AUC_{(0\text{-inf})} \text{ percentage is less than } 10\% \text{ of } AUC_{(0\text{-inf})} / AUC_{(0\text{-tot})} / AUC_$
356	80% in more than $20%$ of the observations, then the validity of the study may need to be discussed
357	in the submission. If the AUC is truncated at 72 hours for long half-life drugs, the primary AUC
358	parameter for analysis is $AUC_{(0-72h)}$ and the following additional parameters are not required:
359	$AUC_{(0-inf)}$ , $AUC_{(0-inf)}$ , $k_{el}$ , and $t_{1/2}$ .
360	Summary statistics to be reported include geometric mean, median, arithmetic mean, standard
361	deviation, coefficient of variation, number of observations, minimum, and maximum. Each

362	variable should be computed using the actual time of sampling for each concentration data point.
363	The non-compartmental methods used to derive the PK parameters from the raw data should be
364	reported, e.g., linear trapezoidal method for AUC and the number of data points of the terminal
365	log-linear phase used to estimate the terminal elimination rate constant (kel).
366	For multiple-dose studies, applicants should document appropriate dosage administration and
367	sampling to demonstrate the attainment of steady-state. For steady-state studies, the following PK
368	parameters should be tabulated: 1) primary parameters for analysis: $C_{maxSS}$ and $AUC_{(0-tauSS)}$ , and 2)
369	additional parameters for analysis: $C_{tauSS}$ , $C_{minSS}$ , $C_{avSS}$ , degree of fluctuation, swing, and $T_{max}$ .
370	Any concentration reported as below the lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) should be treated
371	as zero in PK parameter calculations. Values below the LLOQ are to be omitted from the
372	calculation of $K_{el}$ and $t_{1/2}$ .
373	2.2.2.3 Potency Differences in Lots
374	The results from the potency assay of the test and comparator products should be submitted and
375	the test product batch should be within 5% of the comparator product batch. In exceptional cases
376	where a comparator product batch with a measured drug content within 5% of a test product batch
377	cannot be obtained, a potency correction may be accepted with supporting justification, e.g.,
378	potency data from multiple lots of comparator product, pending market availability, and
379	considering the totality of evidence. If potency correction is to be used, this intention should be
380	pre-specified in the study protocol. Analysis should be provided for both uncorrected data and for
381	potency-corrected data. If the potency correction is justifiable, the applicable BE standards should
382	be met on potency-corrected data.
383	2.2.3 Statistical Analysis
384	2.2.3.1 General Considerations
385	The statistical analyses should include all data for all subjects who provide evaluable data for the
386	products being compared. Decisions made to exclude subjects from the BE analysis population,
387	e.g., due to incomplete sampling or protocol violation, should be documented at the end of the
388	clinical blood sampling portion of the study and prior to subject sample analysis. A study will not

be considered acceptable if there are fewer than 12 evaluable subjects for a crossover analysis or

389

390	for each treatment arm for a parallel analysis.
391	The assessment of BE is based on 90% confidence intervals for the geometric mean ratios
392	(test/comparator) for the primary PK parameters under consideration. This method is equivalent
393	to two one-sided t-tests with the null hypotheses of bioinequivalence at the 5% significance level.
394	The data should be transformed prior to analysis using a logarithmic transformation.
395	The model to be used for the analysis should be pre-specified in the study protocol. The statistical
396	analysis should take into account sources of variation that can be reasonably assumed to have an
397	effect on the response variable.
398	The report on the data analysis should be sufficiently detailed to enable the PK and the statistical
399	analyses to be repeated, e.g., data on actual time of blood sampling after dose, drug concentrations,
400	the values of the PK parameters for each subject in each period, and the randomisation scheme
401	should be provided.
402	2.2.3.2 Crossover Design Studies
403	Conventional two-treatment, two-period, two-sequence randomised crossover design studies
404	should be analysed using an appropriate parametric method, e.g., ANOVA. The tables resulting
405	from such analyses including the appropriate statistical tests of all effects in the model should be
406	submitted, e.g., a summary of the testing of Sequence, Subject within Sequence, Period, and
407	Formulation effects should be presented. In general, the primary analyses should include all data
408	for all subjects who provide evaluable data for both the test and comparator products.
409	2.2.3.3 Carry-over
410	A test for carry-over is not considered relevant and no decisions regarding the analysis, e.g.,
411	analysis of the first period only, should be made on the basis of such a test. In crossover studies,
412	the potential for carry-over can be directly addressed by examination of the pre-treatment plasma
413	concentrations in period 2 and beyond if applicable, e.g., period 3 in a 3-period study.
414	If there are subjects for whom the pre-dose concentration is greater than 5% of the C <sub>max</sub> value for
415	the subject in that period, then the pivotal statistical analysis should be performed excluding the
416	data from that subject.

417	2.2.3.4 Parallel Design Studies
418	The statistical analysis for parallel design studies should reflect independent samples
419	Demographic characteristics or other relevant covariates known to affect the PK should be
420	balanced across groups, to the extent possible. The use of stratification in the randomisation
421	procedure based on a limited number of known relevant factors is therefore recommended. Those
422	factors are also recommended to be accounted for in the pre-defined primary statistical analysis
423	Post hoc and data-driven adjustments are not acceptable for the primary statistical analysis.
424	2.2.3.5 Multi-Group Design Studies
425	Sample size requirements and/or study logistics may necessitate studies to be conducted with
426	groups of subjects. The BE study should be designed to minimise the group effect in the study
427	The combination of multiple factors may complicate the designation of group.
428	BE should be determined based on the overall treatment effect in the whole study population. Ir
429	general, the assessment of BE in the whole study population should be done without including the
430	Group by Treatment interaction term in the model, but applicants may also use other pre-specified
431	models, as appropriate. However, the appropriateness of the statistical model should be evaluated
432	to account for the multi-group nature of the BE study. Applicants should evaluate potential for
433	heterogeneity of treatment effect across groups, i.e., Group by Treatment interaction. If the Group
434	by Treatment interaction is significant, this should be reported and the root cause of the Group by
435	Treatment interaction should be investigated to the extent possible. Substantial differences in the
436	treatment effect for PK parameters across groups should be evaluated. Further analysis and
437	interpretation may be warranted in case heterogeneity across groups is observed.
438	In multicentre BE studies, when there are very few subjects in some sites, these subjects may be
439	pooled into one group for consideration in the statistical analysis. Rules for pooling subjects into
440	one group should be pre-specified and a sensitivity analysis is recommended.
441	Statistical methods and models should be fully pre-specified. Data-driven post hoc analysis is
442	highly discouraged but could be considered only in very rare cases where a very robust scientific
443	justification is provided.

444	2.2.4 Bioequivalence Criteria
445	For the majority of drug products, the PK parameters to demonstrate BE include $C_{\text{max}}$ and $AUC_{(0t)}$
446	For drugs with a long elimination half-life, AUC <sub>(0-72h)</sub> may be used in place of AUC <sub>(0-t)</sub> (see Section
447	2.1.8.2). For drugs where it is clinically relevant to assess the early exposure or early onset of
448	action, an additional PK parameter, pAUC, may be used to establish BE (see Section 2.1.8.3).
449	The 90% confidence interval for the geometric mean ratio of these PK parameters used to establish
450	BE should lie within a range of 80.00 - 125.00%.
451	2.2.5 Multiple Comparator and Multiple Test Product Studies
452	2.2.5.1 Multiple Comparator Products
453	It may be necessary to demonstrate BE between a test product and multiple comparator products
454	to meet requirements from multiple jurisdictions. In such case, including comparator products
455	from different regions in one trial is acceptable to streamline the BE demonstration by conducting
456	one single higher-order crossover BE study with multiple comparator products.
457	Although there are multiple comparator products tested, multiplicity correction, i.e., alpha
458	adjustment, is not needed because comparator products are considered independent and region-
459	specific. Decisions will be made independently about a test product relative to a single comparator
460	product within a single jurisdiction. It is preferred for the statistical analysis to only test two at a
461	time and not all at once, making pairwise comparison within the analysis.
462	It is possible that the results meet the BE acceptance criteria with one region-specific comparator
463	product but not meet BE acceptance criteria with the other region-specific comparator product. In
464	such case, BE is demonstrated with one comparator product and not demonstrated with the other
465	comparator product. The protocol should specify the main objectives of the study and which
466	comparisons are to be performed.
467	Complete study results from all comparisons performed should be included in the clinical study
468	report.
469	2.2.5.2 Multiple Test Products

470

471	e.g., in order to include different test formulations that may be required due to drug development
472	needs. To streamline the demonstration of BE, it is permitted to conduct one single crossover BE
473	study with multiple test products.
474	The need to apply multiplicity correction in pivotal trials depends on the underlying objectives of
475	the trial:
476	a) If the objective is to achieve BE for all test formulations versus the comparator product,
477	then no alpha adjustment is needed.
478	b) If the objective is to show BE for any of the test formulations, then multiplicity
479	adjustment may be needed.
480	The objective of the trial and method for multiplicity correction should be pre-specified in the
481	study protocol.
482	3 SPECIFIC TOPICS
483	3.1 Endogenous Compounds
484	As endogenous compounds are identical to the drug that is being administered it can be challenging
485	to determine the amount of drug released from the dosage form and absorbed for BE assessment.
486	Therefore, in most cases, it is important to measure the baseline endogenous concentrations in
487	biological matrices, e.g., blood, plasma, or urine, and subtract these concentrations from the total
488	concentrations measured from each subject after the drug product is administered.
489	When the endogenous concentrations are influenced by diet, restricting or standardising the dietary
490	intake of the substance before and during the study should be considered.
491	The exact method for baseline correction should be pre-specified and justified in the study
492	protocol. Multiple baseline endogenous concentrations should be measured from each subject in
493	the time period before administration of the study drug. The time-averaged baseline or time-
494	matched baseline concentrations are subtracted from post-dose concentrations for those subjects
495	in an appropriate manner consistent with the PK properties of the drug. For the time-averaged
496	method, either the mean or median value may be used.

497 498 499 500	Baseline concentrations should be determined for each period and baseline correction should be period specific. It should be ensured that the washout period is of an adequate duration because carry-over effects cannot be readily detected. If a baseline correction results in a negative concentration value, the value should be set equal to zero.
501 502	PK and statistical analyses should be performed on both baseline uncorrected and baseline corrected data. In general, determination of BE should be based on the baseline corrected data.
503 504	Alternatively, the need for baseline correction may be avoided by enrolling study subjects with low or no production of the endogenous compounds.
505	3.2 Other Immediate-Release Dosage Forms
506	3.2.1 Orally Disintegrating Tablets
507 508	Orally Disintegrating Tablets (ODTs) should be administered in BE studies according to the comparator product labelling with regard to intake of water.
<ul><li>509</li><li>510</li><li>511</li><li>512</li></ul>	If the comparator product labelling states that the ODT can be taken with or without water, the test and comparator products should be administered in the BE study without water, as this is considered to be the more discriminating scenario. BE of the test and comparator ODT products taken with water can then be inferred.
<ul><li>513</li><li>514</li><li>515</li><li>516</li></ul>	For new intended label use/instructions, e.g., ODT as an extension to another orally administered IR drug product, BE studies may be conducted to determine whether the ODT is BE to the comparator product. In this scenario, the ODT product should be administered according to its intended labelling and compared with the comparator product administered as per its labelling.
517 518 519	If the new intended label use/instructions states that the ODT can be taken with or without water, a 3-arm BE study is recommended to determine BE of the ODT administered with and without water compared to the comparator product administered as per its labelling.
520 521 522	In studies evaluating ODTs without water, it is recommended to wet the mouth by swallowing a small amount of water, e.g., 20 ml, directly before applying the ODT on the tongue. It is recommended not to allow fluid intake earlier than 1 hour after administration.

523 524	Other oral formulations such as orodispersible films, buccal tablets or films, and sublingual tablets may be handled in a similar way to that described above for ODTs.
525	3.2.2 Chewable Tablets
526	Chewable tablets should be administered in BE studies according to the comparator product
527	labelling with regard to intake of water.
528	If the comparator product labelling states that the chewable tablets can be taken with or without
529	water, the test and comparator products should be administered in the BE study without water, as
530	this is considered to be the more discriminating scenario. BE of the test and comparator chewable
531	tablet products taken with water can then be inferred.
532	For new intended label use/instructions, e.g., chewable tablets as an extension to another orally
533	administered IR drug product, BE studies may be conducted to determine whether the chewable
534	tablet is BE to the comparator product. In this scenario, the chewable tablet product should be
535	administered according to its intended labelling and compared with the comparator product
536	administered as per its labelling.
537	If the new intended label use/instructions state that the chewable tablets can be taken with or
538	without water, a 3-arm BE study is recommended to determine BE of the chewable tablets
539	administered with and without water compared to the comparator product administered as per its
540	labelling.
541	3.2.3 Oral Suspensions
542	For tablets, granules, and powders labelled as being only intended to be dispersed in a liquid before
543	administration as an oral suspension, BE studies should be conducted according to the comparator
544	product labelling.
545	For new intended label use/instructions (not included in the comparator product labelling), the test
546	product should be administered according to its intended labelling and compared with the
547	comparator product administered as per its labelling.

548

549

3.3

**Fixed Dose Combination** 

The BE study design for fixed-dose combination products should follow the principles described

in this guideline. BE should be determined using a PK sampling scheme suitable for the determination of the PK parameters of the individual components (drugs) and employing bioanalytical methods validated for the determination of the individual drugs in the presence of the other component(s) in the combination product. PK parameters to be assessed and reported are those that would normally be required for each drug if it were in the formulation as a single entity. BE should be demonstrated for all components (drugs) in the fixed-dose combination product according to the principles described in this guideline. Failure to demonstrate BE for one component of the fixed-dose combination results in failure to demonstrate BE for the proposed fixed-dose combination product as a whole.

#### 3.4 pH-Dependency

The absorption of drug substances with pH-dependent solubility may be influenced by the gastric pH. This impact on drug absorption can be altered due to the use of, for instance, pH stabilising excipients or a specific salt-form in the formulation. Moreover, the formulation of the final marketed comparator product may be the result of an extensive formulation development program, obtaining for instance a specific formulation without an effect on drug absorption due to gastric pH differences. This is especially relevant in cases where it is foreseen that the product will be taken with acid reducing drug products, e.g., proton pump inhibitors, or is going to be used in certain populations, e.g., patients with achlorhydria. Therefore when, relative to the comparator product, there are qualitative or quantitative differences in the pH stabilising excipient(s), significant differences in manufacturing process, or differences in salt form that possess a different pH dependent solubility, BE under normal fasting conditions between the two products may not ensure BE of the two products in a gastric pH-altered situation, e.g., in the presence of a pH-modifying drug product. In such a situation, an additional BE study with concomitant treatment of a pH-modifying drug product would generally be necessary to demonstrate BE.

Applicants may provide a scientific justification to demonstrate that a BE study in a gastric pH-altered situation may not be needed. Such a justification should be based on the totality of evidence referring to the pH-solubility profile of the drug substance, impact of excipients, formulation and manufacturing design, e.g., formulation designed to overcome pH effects, extent of the differences between the test and comparator products, and comparative dissolution testing at multiple pHs. Modelling, e.g., appropriately validated/qualified PBPK modelling or semi-mechanistic

580	absorption models, and virtual BE simulation may be used to further assess the risk of
581	bioinequivalence.
582	4 DOCUMENTATION
583	The report of the BE study should include the complete documentation of its protocol, conduct,
584	and evaluation. It should be written in accordance with ICH E3, Structure and Content of Clinical
585	Study Reports.
586	Names and affiliations of the responsible investigator(s), the site of the study, and the period of its
587	execution should be stated.
588	Listing of inspection history for BE studies conducted at the relevant clinical and bioanalytical
589	site(s) for the 5 years preceding completion of the study should also be provided in the study report
590	(but may alternatively be provided elsewhere in the Common Technical Document (CTD)).
591	Comparator product name, strength, pharmaceutical form, batch number, manufacturer, expiration
592	date, and country of purchase should be stated.
593	Certificates of analysis, or equivalent documents, of comparator and test batches used in the study
594	should be included in an appendix to the study report.
595	The identity of the of the test product(s) used in the study should be provided, i.e., pharmaceutical
596	form, strength, batch number, and measured content (% of label claim). The batch size,
597	manufacturing date (and, if available, the expiry date) as well as the qualitative and quantitative
598	composition of the test product should also be indicated (but may alternatively be provided
599	elsewhere in the CTD).
600	Concentrations and PK data and statistical analyses should be presented in the level of detail
601	described in this guideline (see Section 2.2). The reporting format should include tabular and
602	graphical presentations showing individual and mean results and summary statistics.
603	Information on bioanalytical method validation and study sample analysis according to ICH M10
604	should be included in the appropriate section of Module 5 of the CTD.

605	The data generated should be properly documented and available for audit and inspection.
606	Essential documents should be archived in accordance with ICH E6 and applicable regulatory
607	requirements.
608	Data in a suitable electronic format should be submitted to enable the PK and the statistical
609	analyses to be repeated, e.g., data on actual times of blood sampling, drug concentrations, the
610	values of the PK parameters for each subject in each period, and the randomisation scheme.
611	Module 2.7.1 of the CTD should list all relevant BE studies conducted regardless of the study
612	outcome. Full study reports should be provided for the BE study(ies) upon which the applicant
613	relies for approval. For all other studies, synopses of the study reports (in accordance with ICH
614	E3) are sufficient. However, complete study reports for these studies should be available upon
615	request.
616	5 GLOSSARY
617	Applicant:
618	The entity submitting the application for marketing authorisation to the relevant regulatory
619	authority.
620	AUC:
621	Area under the concentration vs. time curve
622	AUC (0-inf):
623	Area under the concentration vs. time curve extrapolated to infinity
624	AUC (0-t):
625	Area under the concentration vs. time curve from time zero to the time of last quantifiable
626	concentration
627	AUC (0-tauSS):
628	Area under the concentration vs. time curve for one dosing interval at steady state

629	AUC <sub>(0-72h)</sub> :
630	Area under the concentration vs. time curve from time 0 to 72 hours
631	Batch (or Lot):
632	A specific quantity of material produced in a process or series of processes so that it is expected to
633	be homogeneous within specified limits. In the case of continuous production, a batch may
634	correspond to a defined fraction of the production. The batch size can be defined either by a fixed
635	quantity or by the amount produced in a fixed time interval.
636	Batch Number (or Lot Number):
637	A unique combination of numbers, letters, and/or symbols that identifies a batch (or lot) and from
638	which the production and distribution history can be determined.
639	Cavss:
640	Average concentration observed during dosing interval at steady state (AUC <sub>0-tau</sub> /tau)
641	Chewable Tablets:
642	An oral dosage form designed to facilitate chewing and swallowing by the patient rather than
643	swallowing a whole tablet. They must be chewed or crushed before swallowing.
644	C <sub>max</sub> :
645	Maximum concentration after dosing
646	CmaxSS:
647	Maximum concentration observed during dosing interval at steady state
648	CminSS:
649	Minimum concentration observed during dosing interval at steady state
650	Comparator (Product):

651	An investigational or marketed product, i.e., active control, or placebo, used as a reference in a
652	clinical trial. In the context of this guidance, a comparator product is the drug product accepted by
653	regulatory agencies that an applicant can use to compare against the test product in conducting a
654	BE study.
655	C <sub>tau</sub> :
656	Concentration observed at end of dosing interval
657	Ctauss:
658	Concentration observed at end of dosing interval at steady state
659	Enantiomers:
660	Compounds with the same molecular formula as the drug substance, which differ in the spatial
661	arrangement of atoms within the molecule and are nonsuperimposable mirror images.
662	Endogenous Compounds:
663	Compounds already present in the body either because the body produces them or because they
664	are present in a normal diet.
665	Fluctuation:
666	$\left[\left(C_{maxSS}\text{-}C_{minSS}\right)/\left.C_{avSS}\right]$
667	Immediate-Release:
668	Allows the drug to dissolve in the GI contents, with no intention of delaying or prolonging the
669	dissolution or absorption of the drug.
670	kcal:
671	A unit used to describe amount of energy in relation to food or energy burned with exercise. When
672	it comes to nutrition and exercise, kilocalories (kcal) and calories equal the same amount of energy.
673	One kcal (kilocalorie) equals 1 calorie in nutrition.

674	k <sub>el</sub> :
675	The apparent terminal elimination rate constant of the drug.
676	Orally Disintegrating Tablet:
677	A solid dosage form which is designed to disintegrate and dissolve rapidly on contact with saliva
678	when placed on the tongue or in the oral cavity, thus eliminating the need to chew the tablet,
679	swallow an intact tablet, or take the tablet with water.
680	pAUC:
681	Area under the concentration vs. time curve between two specific time points
682	Protocol:
683	A document that describes the objective(s), design, methodology, statistical considerations, and
684	organisation of a trial. The protocol usually also gives the background and rationale for the trial,
685	but these could be provided in other protocol referenced documents. Throughout ICH E6, Good
686	Clinical Practice, the term protocol refers to protocol and protocol amendments.
687	Racemate:
688	A composite (solid, liquid, gaseous, or in solution) of equimolar quantities of two enantiomeric
689	species. It is devoid of optical activity.
690	Spare Subject:
691	A study subject that is included in the drug administration and sample collection regimens of a
692	study but, as per study protocol, whose data will only be included in the PK and statistical analysis
693	if the number of evaluable study subjects drops below a pre-specified number due to subject
694	dropouts and/or withdrawals (use of spare subjects is not acceptable).
695	Sponsor:
696	An individual, company, institution, or organisation which takes responsibility for the initiation,
697	management, and/or financing of a clinical trial.

698	Swing:
699	$\left[\left(C_{maxSS}-C_{minSS}\right)/\left.C_{minSS}\right]$
700	Tau:
701	Dosing Interval
702	T <sub>max</sub> :
703	Time to maximum observed concentration
704	t <sub>1/2</sub> :
705	Half-life